

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/632,584	LIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Szekely	1714	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Peter Szekely.

(3) _____.

 (2) Michelle Bugbee.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 July 2005
Time: 11:30 a.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.


Claims discussed:

Claims 6, 7, 10, 20, 21 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

None.
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The abbreviation "PTFE" will be replaced by --tetrafluoroethylene-- in claims 6, 10, 20 and 23, while the phrase "anti-drip" will be replaced by the phrase --oxy acid-- in claims 7 and 21.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)